

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/606,783	06/27/2003	Tertius F. Dreyer	4000-3	9701
23117	7590 02/10/2006		EXAMINER	
	ANDERHYE, PC	HESS, DOUGLAS A		
ARLINGTON	LEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR VA 22203	LOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3651	

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/606,783	DREYER, TERTIUS F.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Douglas Hess	3651			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addi	ress		
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply beriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a r within the statutory minimum of thin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this com	nmunication.		
Status						
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 O	ctober 2005.				
•	·	action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	n of Claims					
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application	n Papers					
10)⊠ T / I	the specification is objected to by the Examine the drawing(s) filed on 23 February 2005 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ drawing(s) be held in abeyar on is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	R 1.121(d).		
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) [cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureause the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National S	itage		
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
3) Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO- 	152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/606,783 Page 2

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hagerman et al. USP 4314631in view of Maurer USP 4548316.

Hagerman disclose a similar device comprising a (n):

- Conveyor idler (32) including an outer surface and an inner surface (C2/L39-42)
- Conveyor belt (12)
- > Shaft (36)
- > Frame (fig. 1)
- ➤ Locking mechanism (C2/L39-42) for preventing the sleeve from rotating in the reverse direction

While Hagerman does indeed disclose a braking mechanism inside the conveyor idler (32), he does not comprising at least one brake element which can move between an unlocked position in which the sleeve can rotate in the forward direction, and a locked position in which the locking mechanism element locks the sleeve to the shaft.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,783 Page 3

Art Unit: 3651

Mauer teaches the use of a similar locking mechanism (fig. 5) comprising at least one brake element which can move between an unlocked position in which the sleeve can rotate in the forward direction, and a locked position in which the locking mechanism element locks the sleeve to the shaft (62) for the purpose of providing for a means to provide for a one-way clutch that prevents reverse rotation of a roller (at least abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed the use of a brake element, as taught by Mauer, in the device of Hagerman for the purpose of providing for a means to provide for a one-way clutch that prevents reverse rotation of a roller.

Re clms 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11 it would have been obvious in view of Mauer to have employed the use of Outer surface with a plurality of spaced ramps (fig. 5; 65), Ball bearing or roller bearing (62), Rotatable member (on either side of ramps 61 in fig. 6) for the purpose of providing for a means to prevent reverse directional movement of a roller.

Re clm 10, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed the use of weld connection since connect via welding was well known of at the time of the invention for the purpose of providing for a means to fasten.

3. Claims 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hagerman et al. USP 4314631in view of Maurer USP 4548316.

Hagerman disclose a similar device comprising a (n):

- ➤ Idler (32) having an outer surface and an inner surface (C2/L39-42)
- Conveyor belt (12)

Art Unit: 3651

> Shaft (36)

> Frame (fig. 1)

> Braking mechanism (C2/L39-42) for preventing the idler from rotating in the reverse

direction.

While Hagerman does indeed disclose a braking mechanism inside the idler (32), he does not disclose the Braking mechanism comprising at least one brake element that can move

between a first position in which the idler can rotate in the forward direction, and a second

position in which the brake element prevents the idler from rotating in the reverse direction.

Mauer teaches the use of a similar braking mechanism (fig. 5) comprising at least one brake element (62) for the purpose of providing for a means to provide for a one-way clutch that prevents reverse rotation of a roller (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed the use of a brake element, as taught by Mauer, in the device of Hagerman for the purpose of providing for a means to provide for a one-way clutch that prevents reverse rotation of a roller.

Re clms 13-18 it would have been obvious to have employed the use of a brake guide secured to a shaft, brake guide having an outer surface with a plurality of recesses each having a brake surface, said brake element is a ball bearing or a roller bearing, in view of Mauer who teaches a brake guide (61) secured to a shaft (60), brake guide having an outer surface with a plurality of recess (brake element 62 sits in recess) each having a brake surface (65), said brake

element (62) is a ball bearing or a roller bearing, for the purpose of providing for a means to prevent reverse directional movement of a roller.

a brake guide secured to a shaft, brake guide having an outer surface with a plurality of recess each having a brake surface, said brake element is a ball bearing or a roller bearing, a key and keyway, in view of Mauer who teaches a brake guide (61) secured to a shaft (60), brake guide having an outer surface with a plurality of recess (brake element 62 sits in recess) each having a brake surface (65), said brake element (62) is a ball bearing or a roller bearing, and also teaches a key and keyway (fig. 5)

Conclusion

4. Applicant's arguments filed October 26, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Although the roller 32 of Hagerman is above the belt, the examiner asserts that the belt is supported between the roller 32 and the support plate 52, hence, the examiner concludes that Hagerman teaches supporting the belt <u>on</u> plate 52 and roller 32, the roller supporting the plate from moving vertically up. Regarding the lack of a braking effect on the belt by the roller 32, The examiner asserts that anything at all, in contact with the belt provides a frictional factor which would slow the belt, therefore, as long as the belt is in contact with the roller 32 it is providing a minimal braking effect even when the roller is moving in the direction of the belt.

Mauer's braking device is utilized on roller/shaft 60, see figures 5 and 6.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,783

Page 6

Art Unit: 3651

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas A. Hess whose telephone number is 571-272-6915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thurs 5:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Crawford can be reached on 571-272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,783

Art Unit: 3651

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Page 7

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Douglas A Hess Primary Examiner Art Unit 3651

2-6-06

DAH February 6, 2006